

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/933,972	HAWKES ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
MICHAEL J. SIMITOSKI	2439	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** after non-final

(1) MICHAEL J. SIMITOSKI. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) W. Kim, Reg. No. 40,457. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 March 2010

**Time:** 1 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

§101

Claims discussed:

11,24

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J Simitoski/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2439

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was contacted to discuss the current interpretation of 35 U.S.C. §101 as it applied to claims 11 and 24. The examiner discussed potential amendments to overcome the concerns presented. Applicant and the examiner agreed on the attached Examiner's amendment.